



**NEW YORK UNIVERSITY**

Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences

Division of Electromagnetic Research

**RESEARCH REPORT No. HSN-4**

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY  
COURANT INSTITUTE — LIBRARY  
4 Washington Place, New York 3, N. Y.

# **Model for Energy Transfer in Isotropic Turbulence**

**R. H. KRAICHNAN and E. A. SPIEGEL**

Contract No. Nonr-285(33)

MARCH, 1962

HSN-4  
p. 9



NEW YORK UNIVERSITY  
Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences  
Division of Electromagnetic Research

Research Report No. HSN-4

MODEL FOR ENERGY TRANSFER IN ISOTROPIC TURBULENCE

R. H. Kraichnan and E. A. Spiegel

This work was supported by the Fluid Dynamics Branch,  
Office of Naval Research, under Contract Nonr-285(33).  
Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any  
purpose of the U. S. Government.



ABSTRACT

An approximate energy-transfer function for isotropic turbulence is proposed on the basis of an analogy with radiative transfer in an inhomogeneous medium. An essential feature of the approximation is replacement of the actual triad interactions of the Fourier modes by interactions between pairs of modes. The interaction of each pair of modes satisfies detailed conservation of energy. Regardless of which mode has the higher wavenumber, the net transfer of energy is always from the more strongly excited to the more weakly excited mode of a pair. The transfer function gives an inertial range where the spectrum obeys the Kolmogorov law and where energy transfer is by local cascade. The spectrum in the far dissipation range falls off sufficiently rapidly that all spatial derivatives of the velocity field exist in mean square.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1. INTRODUCTION	2
2. PROPERTIES OF THE EXACT TRANSFER PROCESS	3
3. ANALOGY WITH RADIATIVE TRANSFER	7
4. PROPOSED TRANSFER APPROXIMATION	11
REFERENCES AND FOOTNOTES	19

## 1. INTRODUCTION

One reason for studying isotropic turbulence is the hope that there will emerge simple models of nonlinear processes which can be generalized and applied to turbulent flows that occur in nature. A nonlinear process of central importance is the transfer of energy among the spatial Fourier modes of the velocity field. Only limited process has been made in the analytical study of turbulent energy-transfer. In the last fifteen years, however, a number of elementary physical models for this process have been proposed. [1-4]

The purpose of the present paper is to add still another elementary energy-transfer model to the list. Our justification is a hope that the new model may prove more suitable than existing ones for generalization and application to certain physical problems. One application we envisage is thermally driven turbulence, wherein it is of interest to determine the velocity spectrum at wavenumbers below, as well as above, the wavenumbers at which energy is fed into the turbulence. In this problem it is inadequate to represent the energy-transfer by a one-way cascade toward high wavenumbers as is done, for example, in the Heisenberg transfer model. [5]

The model we propose is based on an analogy between turbulent energy transfer and radiative transfer in an inhomogeneous medium. [6] This analogy is suggested by some qualitative consequences of the previously proposed "direct-interaction" approximation for turbulent energy transfer. [7] However, the present approximation is much simpler than the direct-interaction

approximation, and very little mathematical analysis is required to obtain it. An essential feature of the simplification is that the interactions among triads of Fourier modes, which characterize both the exact equations of turbulence and the direct-interaction approximation, are replaced by interactions between pairs of modes. This feature is present in Heisenberg's transfer model also.<sup>[1]</sup> As we shall point out, a penalty incurred for our misrepresentation of the actual dynamics is a significant loss of naturalness in portraying the interaction among distant wavenumbers.

In Sec. 2, we shall state some important qualitative properties of the exact transfer process which are deducible analytically or strongly indicated by experimental evidence and physical intuition. In the following sections we shall describe the analogy to radiative transfer, introduce the proposed transfer approximation, and discuss the extent to which the approximation reproduces the properties stated in Sec. 2.

## 2. PROPERTIES OF THE EXACT TRANSFER PROCESS

The energy-balance equation for incompressible isotropic turbulence obeying the Navier-Stokes equation may be written in the form<sup>[8]</sup>

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 2\nu k^2 \right) E(k) = T(k). \quad (2.1)$$

Here  $\nu$  is the kinematic viscosity,  $E(k)$  is the usual wavenumber spectrum of kinetic energy [energy-per-unit-mass =  $\int_0^\infty E(k)dk$ ], and  $T(k)dk$  is the net rate of transfer of energy-per-unit-mass from all other wavenumbers

to the wavenumbers in the range  $dk$ . Let us consider isotropic turbulence as the limit  $L \rightarrow \infty$  of turbulence contained in a cubical box of side  $L$ . Then the exact form of  $T(k)$  is

$$T(k) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} 4\pi k^2 \left( \frac{L}{2\pi} \right)^{3/2} \text{Im} \iint \delta(\underline{p} + \underline{q} + \underline{k}) \langle \underline{k} \cdot \underline{u}(\underline{p}) \underline{u}(\underline{q}) \cdot \underline{u}(\underline{k}) \rangle d^3p d^3q, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\underline{u}(\underline{k})$  is a suitably normalized Fourier amplitude of the velocity field,  $\delta(\underline{k})$  is the three-dimensional Dirac function,  $\text{Im}$  denotes imaginary part, and  $\langle \rangle$  denotes average over the isotropic ensemble. [9]

Equation (2.2) expresses the fact that the nonlinear interaction is a sum over elementary interactions involving triads of wavevectors. This equation may be rewritten in the form

$$T(k) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Delta} S(k|\underline{p}, \underline{q}) d\underline{p} d\underline{q}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $S(k|\underline{p}, \underline{q})$  has the symmetry property

$$S(k|\underline{p}, \underline{q}) = S(k|\underline{q}, \underline{p}).$$

Here  $k$ ,  $\underline{p}$ , and  $\underline{q}$  are wavenumbers, and the integration  $\iint_{\Delta}$  is over all values of  $\underline{p}$  and  $\underline{q}$  such that  $k$ ,  $\underline{p}$ , and  $\underline{q}$  can form the legs of a triangle.  $S(k|\underline{p}, \underline{q}) d\underline{p} d\underline{q}$  is the total contribution to  $T(k)$  from all wavevectors  $\underline{p}$  and  $\underline{q}$  in (2.2) such that  $\underline{p}$  and  $\underline{q}$  lie within given ranges  $d\underline{p}$  and  $d\underline{q}$ , plus the contribution obtained by interchanging  $\underline{p}$  and  $\underline{q}$ . It is easily shown from (2.2) and the condition of incompressibility that

$$S(k|\underline{p}, \underline{q}) + S(\underline{p}|\underline{q}, k) + S(\underline{q}|\underline{p}, k) = 0. \quad (2.4)$$



That is, the elementary interaction among any three wavenumbers  $k$ ,  $p$ , and  $q$  is conservative. From (2.4) there follows the overall conservation property

$$\int_0^{\infty} T(k) dk = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

There is a further exact property which provides an insight into the nature of the energy transfer, even though the property concerns a hypothetical system rather than an actual turbulent fluid. Suppose that we alter the Navier-Stokes equation, written in terms of the Fourier representation, by taking  $\nu = 0$  and removing from the equation all Fourier modes which lie above some arbitrary cut-off wavenumber. Then it may be shown that equilibrium (time-invariant) distributions exist in which the total energy is equally divided among the Fourier modes which remain. [10-12] That is,

$$E(k) \propto k^2, \quad (2.6)$$

where the factor  $k^2$  appears because the density of Fourier modes between wavenumbers  $k$  and  $k + dk$  is  $\propto k^2$ . These equipartition distributions satisfy the condition of detailed balance,

$$S(k|p,q) = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

for all  $k$ ,  $p$ , and  $q$ .

The equipartition distribution is far from realized in actual turbulence. Viscous dissipation and the drain of energy to distant

wavenumbers provide sinks which prevent absolute equilibrium from being produced. Nevertheless, the equipartition results suggest that in actual turbulence the energy transfer will in general be from strongly to weakly excited Fourier modes and that  $S(k|p,q)$  typically may vanish, or be small, for triads of modes which satisfy

$$k^{-2}E(k) = p^{-2}E(p) = q^{-2}E(q). \quad (2.8)$$

The remaining properties which we wish to attribute to the actual energy-transfer process represent hypotheses rather than deductions. They are based on empirical evidence and physical intuition. We assume, following Kolmogorov and others, that, at sufficiently high Reynolds numbers, the spectrum consists of well-defined energy-containing, inertial, and dissipation ranges.<sup>[8]</sup> In the inertial range, we assume that the energy transfer consists of a cascade process, essentially local in wavenumber, by which energy from the energy-containing range reaches the dissipation range. Finally, we assume that the spectrum in the dissipation range eventually falls off rapidly enough that all the spectral moments

$$\int_0^{\infty} k^{2m} E(k) dk \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (2.9)$$

exist. This implies that all spatial derivatives of the velocity field exist in mean square. We base the last assumption on available experimental evidence<sup>[8,13]</sup> and on the physical intuition that the action of viscosity should prevent the formation of infinitely sharp shear fronts.

In summary, we now list five deduced or presumed properties of the exact transfer process:

(i) The nonlinear interaction is a sum of elementary interactions among triads of Fourier modes.

(ii) The elementary interactions are individually conservative; consequently, the total nonlinear interaction is conservative.

(iii) For the hypothetical system with  $\nu = 0$  and a  $k$  cut-off, the equipartition spectrum (2.6) yields detailed balance among the Fourier modes that remain.

(iv) At sufficiently high Reynolds numbers, the energy and dissipation ranges are separated by an inertial range in which transfer is by a local cascade process.

(v) The transfer yields a spectrum for which all the moments (2.9) exist.

### 3. ANALOGY WITH RADIATIVE TRANSFER

In several recent papers,<sup>[7,12,14]</sup> one of us has investigated turbulent energy transfer on the basis of the so-called direct-interaction approximation. This corresponds to taking a particular partial summation from all orders of an exact expansion of the energy transfer in powers of a characteristic turbulent Reynolds number. No arbitrary parameters or functions are invoked. The approximation exhibits all of the properties (i)-(v) listed at the end of Sec. 2.<sup>[7,12]</sup>

The direct-interaction approximation is simplest in the case of

stationary, isotropic turbulence.<sup>[15]</sup> There it yields

$$S(k|p, q) = S_a(k|p, q) - S_e(k|p, q), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_a(k|p, q) &= A_{kpq} E(p) E(q), \\ S_e(k|p, q) &= [B_{kpq} E(q) + B_{kqp} E(p)] E(k). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

The coefficients  $A_{kpq}$  and  $B_{kpq}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} A_{kpq} &= k^3 (pq)^{-1} (1 - xyz - 2y^2 z^2) \theta_{kpq}, \\ B_{kpq} &= p^2 q^{-1} (xy + z^3) \theta_{pqk}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  are the cosines of the interior angles opposite  $k$ ,  $p$ , and  $q$ , respectively, in a triangle with these wavenumbers as sides.

The quantity  $\theta_{kpq}$  is a relaxation time of the triple correlation of a triad of modes  $\underline{k}$ ,  $\underline{p}$ , and  $\underline{q}$  under the joint action of viscous and dynamic ('eddy') dissipation processes. In many cases,  $\theta_{kpq}$  may be approximated over much of the spectrum by

$$\theta_{kpq} \sim [\nu k^2 + (2/\pi)^{1/2} v_0 (k^2 + p^2 + q^2)^{1/2}]^{-1},$$

where  $v_0$  is the rms value of the turbulent velocity in any direction.

In general, however,  $E(k)$  and  $\theta_{kpq}$  must be determined simultaneously by solving a pair of integrodifferential equations.<sup>[7]</sup>

The quantity  $A_{kpq}$  in (3.2) is always positive, and  $B_{kpq}$  is typically positive. Thus  $S_a(k|p, q)$  may be regarded as an absorption

term, which always represents a flow of energy into wavenumber  $k$  from  $p$  and  $q$ , while  $S_e(k|p,q)$  represents an emission of energy from wavenumber  $k$ . It will be noted from (3.3) that  $S_e(k|p,q)$  is a function of  $p$  and  $E(q)$  but not  $E(k)$ . On the other hand,  $S_a(k|p,q)$  is proportional to  $E(k)$ . The conservation property (2.5) implies that the integral of  $S_e(k|p,q)$  over all  $k$ ,  $p$ , and  $q$  equals the integral of  $S_a(k|p,q)$ . That is, all the energy emitted from any wavenumber is absorbed by other wavenumbers.

The facts just stated suggest an analogy between the transfer of turbulent kinetic energy in  $k$  space and the transfer, in  $x$  space, of electromagnetic energy in an inhomogeneous medium which both radiates and absorbs.<sup>[6]</sup> If the analogy were perfect, it would be appropriate to write  $T(k)$  in the form

$$T(k) = -\mathcal{E}(k) + \int_0^\infty \mathcal{E}(k')f(k,k')dk', \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}(k)dk$  is the total emission from wavenumbers in the range  $dk$  and  $f(k,k')dk$  gives the fraction of the emission from wavenumbers in the range  $dk'$  which is absorbed in the range  $dk$ . The condition that all the energy emitted be absorbed somewhere is

$$\int_0^\infty f(k,k')dk = 1. \quad (3.5)$$

Consistency of (3.4) with (3.1) would imply

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(k) &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Delta} S_e(k|\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}) d\mathbf{p}d\mathbf{q}, \\ \int_0^{\infty} \mathcal{E}(k') f(k,k') dk' &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Delta} S_a(k|\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}) d\mathbf{p}d\mathbf{q}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

It is apparent that our analogy is not exact. By appeal to radiative transfer theory, we would expect that  $\mathcal{E}(k)$  should depend only on  $E(k)$ , the strength of excitation at wavenumber  $k$ . But this is not supported by (3.2), or by the Navier-Stokes equation itself. Moreover, the form of (3.4) implies interactions between pairs of Fourier modes, a feature which does not correspond to the actual triad nature of the elementary interactions. Hence it would be difficult to determine unambiguously the form of  $f(k,k')$  from the second of relations (3.6).

Despite the objections just raised, the authors find (3.4) attractive as a basis for constructing approximations to  $T(k)$ . The similarity to radiative transfer equations could be expected to suggest both qualitative insights and techniques for computation. Also, the replacement of triad by pair interactions means that double integrals are replaced by single integrals, which may be a valuable feature in numerical calculations. Finally, it is possible to provide a degree of physical motivation for (3.4) on the basis of property (iv) of Sec. 2. We shall now state the argument briefly.

The localness of energy cascade implies that nearby wavenumbers are somehow more strongly coupled than distant ones. This makes it

plausible that the emission from wavenumber  $k$  should depend principally on the excitation of wavenumbers whose order of magnitude is  $k$ . Also, it seems plausible that the triad interactions most important for transferring energy among wavenumbers that differ substantially in magnitude may be interactions in which two of the three wavenumbers are of the same order of magnitude. Suppose now that we adopt an idealization in which all wavenumbers in some appropriate neighborhoods of two wavenumbers  $k$  and  $k'$  are regarded as indistinguishable from  $k$  and  $k'$  themselves. We then come rather naturally to (3.4) and to the assumption that  $\epsilon(k)$  depends only on  $E(k)$ . Some of the limitations on this argument will be discussed at the end of the next section.

#### 4. PROPOSED TRANSFER APPROXIMATION

The considerations presented in Secs. 2 and 3 have led the authors to the following transfer approximation:

$$T(k) = \int_0^{\infty} S(k|p) dp, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S(k|p) &= S_a(k|p) - S_e(k|p), \\ S_a(k|p) &= S_e(p|k), \\ S_e(k|p) &= \eta [k^{-2} E(k)]^{3/2} (kp)^{7/4} g(p/k). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Here  $\eta$  is a numerical constant and  $g(p/k)$  is a non-negative, dimensionless function that measures the strength of coupling between wavenumbers  $k$  and  $p$ .

The factor  $(kp)^{7/4}$  makes  $S_e(k|p)$  dimensionally correct. We impose upon  $g$  the symmetry condition and normalization

$$g(k/p) = g(p/k), \quad (4.3)$$

$$\int_0^\infty x^{7/4} g(x) dx = 1. \quad (4.4)$$

These relations imply

$$g(x) < O(x^{-11/4}), \quad x \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.5)$$

a condition which gives a lower bound to the localness of energy transfer. For the moment, we leave  $g$  otherwise undetermined.

The analogy between the present approximation and the direct-interaction approximation becomes immediately apparent if we compare (4.1) and (4.2) with (2.3), (3.1), and (3.2). The most important qualitative difference is that we have replaced triad interactions with pair interactions. Upon performing an integration over  $S_e(k|p)$  and using (4.4), we find that (4.1) and (4.2) may be written in the form (3.4), (3.5) with

$$\mathcal{E}(k) = \eta[kE(k)]^{3/2} \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$f(k,p) = p^{-1}(k/p)^{7/4} g(k/p). \quad (4.7)$$

Equation (4.6) represents the unique dimensionally correct form for  $\mathcal{E}(k)$  which depends solely on  $k$  and  $E(k)$ .



Let us now ask to what extent the proposed transfer approximation satisfies the requirements (i)-(v) listed at the end of Sec. 2:

(i) It has already been noted that the approximation replaces triad interactions with pair interactions. The rationale of this procedure was discussed in Sec. 3, and it will be taken up again at the end of the present Section.

(ii) There follows immediately from (4.2) the detailed conservation property

$$S(k|p) + S(p|k) = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

which replaces (2.4). The overall conservation condition (2.5) follows from (4.8).

(iii) The detailed balance relation

$$S(k|p) = 0 \quad (\text{all } k \text{ and } p) \quad (4.9)$$

follows directly from (4.2) and (4.3) when the spectrum has the equipartition form (2.6). More generally, it is clear from (4.2) and (4.3) that  $S(k|p) \geq 0$  if  $k^{-2}E(k) < p^{-2}E(p)$ . That is, the net transfer is always to the more weakly excited mode of a pair, regardless of which mode has the higher wavenumber.

(iv) We shall verify that, as a consequence of (4.5), the approximation yields an inertial range through which the energy transfer proceeds by local cascade. The spectrum in the inertial range has the Kolmogorov form

$$E(k) = \alpha \epsilon^{2/3} k^{-5/3}, \quad (4.10)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a numerical constant, which we shall determine in terms of  $\eta$ , and  $\epsilon$  is the rate of dissipation of kinetic-energy-per-unit-mass by viscosity. [16]

(v) We shall see that the existence of the spectral moments (2.9) is not automatic but requires that  $g(k/p)$  vanish with at least exponential strength as  $k/p \rightarrow \infty$ . This fact, together with considerations of simplicity, has led the authors to propose the choice

$$g(x) = N \exp[-a(x + x^{-1})], \quad (4.11)$$

where  $a$  is a positive constant and  $N$  is the normalizing factor called for by (4.4). We may either find an optimum value for  $a$  by fitting the dissipation-range spectrum to experimental data, or simply take  $a = 1$ . It will be noted below that choices  $g(x)$  other than (4.11) may be desirable in applications of the transfer approximation.

In the remainder of this Section, we shall examine in some detail the structure of the inertial range and the behavior of  $E(k)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , thereby verifying the statements made under headings (iv) and (v). First, let us substitute (4.10) into (4.2) and (4.1), thereby obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} T(k) = & \eta \alpha^{3/2} \epsilon \int_0^{\infty} k^{7/4} p^{-15/4} g(k/p) dp \\ & - \eta \alpha^{3/2} \epsilon \int_0^{\infty} k^{-15/4} p^{7/4} g(p/k) dp. \end{aligned}$$

If we make the respective substitutions  $x = k/p$  and  $x = p/k$  in the two

integrals on the right side of this equation, we find, using (4.1), that the integrals are equal and that they converge at both limits. Thus  $T(k)$  vanishes, showing the existence of equilibrium under the action of inertial forces alone. The convergence of the integrals at the limits  $p = 0$  and  $p = \infty$  shows that wavenumbers  $p \ll k$  and  $p \gg k$  contribute negligibly to  $T(k)$ . This demonstrates the localness of the energy cascade in the inertial range.

In order to determine the constant  $\alpha$  in (4.10), we note that, according to the conservation property, the dissipation  $\epsilon$  must equal the power passing through the inertial range by cascade. For any wavenumber  $k$ , the total net rate of transfer of energy from all wavenumbers  $p < k$  to all wavenumber  $q > k$  is clearly

$$\Pi(k) = \int_k^\infty dq \int_0^k dp S(q|p). \quad (4.12)$$

Substituting (4.10) into (4.12), setting  $\epsilon = \Pi(k)$ , and performing appropriate variable changes and partial integrations, we find

$$\alpha = [\eta I]^{-2/3}, \quad (4.13)$$

$$I = \int_1^\infty \left( x^{7/4} - x^{-15/4} \right) g(x) (\ln x) dx.$$

Hence,  $\alpha$  is fixed in terms of  $\eta$  once the form of  $g$  is prescribed. The two terms which comprise  $I$  represent, in non-dimensional form, the total rate of energy-absorption and energy-emission, respectively, by wavenumbers  $> k$  due to interactions with modes  $< k$ .

Now let us consider the existence of the spectral moments (2.9). Suppose that instead of (4.11) we had taken

$$g(k/p) \sim b(k/p)^{-n} \quad (k \gg p), \quad (4.14)$$

where  $b$  is a constant and  $n > 11/4$ . Consider a wavenumber  $k$  in the far tail of the dissipation range. That is,  $k \gg k_s$ , where  $k_s$  is the Kolmogorov microscale  $(\epsilon/\nu^3)^{1/4}$ . Let us assume, subject to a check for consistency, that the significant contributions to the absorption term  $\int_0^\infty S_a(k|p)dp$  in  $T(k)$  come from wavenumbers  $p \lesssim k_s$ . Also, let us assume that the emission term  $\int_0^\infty S_e(k|p)dp$  is negligible compared

to the absorption term. The second assumption is equivalent to the statement that almost all of the energy absorbed at wavenumber  $k$  is dissipated by viscosity rather than re-emitted.

According to the energy-balance equation (2.1), the condition for a steady state at wavenumber  $k$  under our assumptions is

$$2\nu k^2 E(k) \sim \eta \int_0^\infty [p^{-2} E(p)]^{3/2} (kp)^{7/4} g(k/p) dp, \quad (4.15)$$

whence, using (4.14),

$$E(k) \sim \frac{1}{2} \nu^{-1} b k^{-n-1/4} \int_0^\infty p^{-n-5/4} [E(p)]^{3/2} dp. \quad (4.16)$$

The consistency of our first assumption may now be checked by substituting  $E(p) \sim E(k_s)(p/k_s)^{-n-1/4}$  in the right side of (4.15) and verifying

that the integral converges as  $p/k_g \rightarrow \infty$ . Our second assumption may be verified in a related fashion.

It is clear from (4.16) that the choice (4.14) is not consistent with the existence of all the moments (2.9), no matter how large  $n$  may be. In order for all the moments to exist,  $g(k/p)$  must vanish at least exponentially as  $k/p \rightarrow \infty$ . Apart from this requirement, together with (4.3) and (4.4), the form of  $g(k/p)$  is undetermined by our considerations so far. We have proposed the form (4.11) principally upon grounds of simplicity. However, this choice has also been influenced by the exponential behavior of  $E(k)$  that is predicted by the direct-interaction approximation in the far dissipation range.<sup>[7]</sup> In any particular application of the transfer approximation, it may be desirable to take a different form for  $g(k/p)$  in order to achieve simplicity in computations. The replacement of triad interactions by pair interactions, which is basic to our transfer approximation, is a sufficiently grave mutilation of the exact dynamics that there seems little point in pursuing elaborate theoretical arguments as to what the precise form of  $g(k/p)$  should be.<sup>[17]</sup>

The strong requirement which we have been led to impose on the vanishing of  $g(k/p)$  as  $k/p \rightarrow \infty$  actually represents a rather serious artificiality in the portrayal of the interactions of very distant wavenumbers. The origin lies in the replacement of triad interactions by pair interactions. The coefficients which occur in the  $k$  space form of the Navier-Stokes equation are all algebraic, and it is clear that they cannot, in themselves, lead to an exponentially small coupling between distant Fourier amplitudes. However, because of the convolutive character

of the equation, the coupling between any two amplitudes  $\underline{u}(\underline{k})$  and  $\underline{u}(\underline{p})$  involves a third amplitude  $\underline{u}(\underline{q})$  as a modulating factor. If  $k \gg p$ , then  $q \approx k$ . If  $\underline{k}$  is high in the dissipation range, the amplitude  $\underline{u}(\underline{q})$  will therefore be very small, and this accounts for the smallness of the effective coupling of  $\underline{k}$  and  $\underline{p}$ . In our transfer approximation, this phenomenon, which in actuality depends on the fact that the spectrum falls off very rapidly in the dissipation range, is artificially represented by a fixed function  $g(k/p)$  which is independent of the spectrum.

In the direct-interaction approximation (3.1)-(3.3), the coefficients  $A_{kpq}$  and  $B_{kpq}$ , which are analogous to  $g(k/p)$ , behave algebraically for  $k \gg p$ , and the exponential fall-off of the dissipation-range spectrum<sup>[7]</sup> is due to modulation effects which resemble those in the exact dynamics. A consequence is that the energy-dynamics of the far-dissipation range differ considerably in the direct-interaction approximation and our present approximation, even if  $g(k/p)$  is chosen to make the asymptotic spectra the same. We have noted that in the present approximation the energy input to very high wavenumbers comes principally from wavenumbers  $p \sim k_g$ . In the direct-interaction approximation, the local cascade which characterizes the inertial range persists throughout the dissipation range.

# REFERENCES AND FOOTNOTES

- [1] W. Heisenberg, Z. Physik 124, 628 (1948).
- [2] L. S. G. Kovásznyai, J. Aeronaut. Sci. 15, 712 (1948).
- [3] A. M. Obukhov, Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci., U. R. S. S. 32, 12 (1941).
- [4] A variety of elementary transfer approximations have been investigated recently by W. H. Reid (unpublished).
- [5] E. A. Spiegel, Proceedings, IUGG-IUTAM Symposium on Fundamental Problems in Turbulence, Marseilles, Sept. 1961 (to be published in J. Geophys. Res.).
- [6] S. Chandrasekhar, Radiative Transfer (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1950).
- [7] R. H. Kraichnan, J. Fluid Mech. 5, 497 (1959).
- [8] G. K. Batchelor, The Theory of Homogeneous Turbulence (University Press, Cambridge, England, 1953).
- [9] The definition of  $\underline{u}(\underline{k})$  which yields (2.2) is

$$\underline{u}(\underline{k}) = (2\pi L)^{-3/2} \int \underline{u}(\underline{x}) \exp(-i\underline{k} \cdot \underline{x}) d^3x,$$

where the integration is over the whole box. This normalization is chosen because it gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \int |\underline{u}(\underline{k})|^2 d^3k = \int_0^\infty E(k) dk.$$

It should be noted that a strictly isotropic ensemble is possible only in the limit  $L \rightarrow \infty$ .

- [10] J. M. Burgers, Verhandel. Koninkl. Akad. Wetenschap. Amsterdam 32, 643 (1929); 36, 620 (1933); 43, 936, 1153 (1940).

- [11] T. D. Lee, Quart. Appl. Math. 10, 69 (1952).
- [12] R. H. Kraichnan, Phys. Rev. 109, 1407 (1958).
- [13] H. L. Grant, R. W. Stewart, and A. Moilliet, J. Fluid Mech., to be published.
- [14] R. H. Kraichnan, J. Math. Phys. 2, 124 (1961); erratum, 3, 205 (1962).
- [15] The conditions of stationarity and isotropy are consistent only if isotropic driving forces are introduced into the Navier-Stokes equation, thereby giving an additional term, representing input, on the right side of (2.1). Such forces are treated explicitly in references 7 and 12. They turn out not to affect the functional form of  $T(k)$ , and we shall ignore them here.
- [16] In this respect, the present approximation differs from the direct-interaction approximation, which yields a  $k^{-3/2}$  law in the inertial range. See reference 7 for a discussion of the difference and its implications.
- [17] A particularly simple form for computations would be

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(x) &= N' \quad (c^{-1} < x < c) \\
 &= 0 \quad (\text{otherwise}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $c$  is an arbitrary constant and  $N'$  is the normalization factor required by (4.4).



(ONE copy unless otherwise noted)

Chief of Naval Research Department of the Navy Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Codes 438 441 461 466	(3)	Commander Naval Ordnance Laboratory White Oak, Maryland Attn: Tech DA (Assoc. Tech. Dir. Aeroball.) ML (Library Div.)	Director Lewis Flight Propulsion Laboratory National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics Cleveland, 11, Ohio
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 495 Summer Street Boston 10, Massachusetts	(0)	Commander Naval Ordnance Test Station China Lake, California Attn: Code 753 (Library Div.)	National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Fluid Mechanics Section Dr. G.D. Schubauer Dr. S.G. Klebanoff Aerodynamics Section Dr. C.W. Tchen
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office The John Crerar Library Bldg. 86 East Randolph Street Chicago 1, Illinois		Officer-in-Charge, Pasadena Annex Naval Ordnance Test Station 3201 E. Foothill Blvd. Pasadena 6, California Attn: Codes 1004 (Guid. & Control Div.) 1807 (Appl. Mech. Br.) P0074 (Hydro. Br.)	Armed Services Technical Information Agency Document Service Center Arlington Hall Station Arlington 12, Virginia
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 346 Broadway New York 13, New York	(2)	Commanding Officer U.S. Naval Underwater Ordnance Station Newport, Rhode Island	Office of Technical Services Department of Commerce Washington 25, D.C.
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena 1, California		Commanding Officer and Director U.S. Naval Engineering Experiment Station Annapolis, Maryland	Institute of Mathematical Sciences New York University 25 Maverly Place New York 3, New York Attn: Prof. R. Courant Dr. R. Kraichnan
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office Navy #100, Fleet Post Office New York, New York Attn: Mr. M.P. Tulin	(25)	Superintendent U.S. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California Attn: Librarian	Prof. W.J. Pierson, Jr. Oceanography Department New York University University Heights New York 53, New York
Director Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Code 2000 (Tech. Info. Off.)	(6)	Superintendent U.S. Naval Academy Annapolis, Maryland Attn: Librarian	The John Hopkins University Baltimore 18, Maryland Attn: Mech. Engrg. Dept. Prof. S. Corrin Prof. G.H. Phillips Aero. Dept. Prof. F.H. Clauser Prof. L.S.G. Kovasznay Civil Engrg. Dept. Prof. R.R. Long
Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics Department of the Navy Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Codes AD-3 (Aero & Hydro.Br.) RS (Research Div.) TD-42 (Library Sect.)		Commanding Officer and Director U.S. Navy Electronics Laboratory San Diego 52, California Attn: Mr. C. Miller	Institute for Fluid Dynamics and Applied Mathematics University of Maryland College Park, Maryland Attn: Prof. J.M. Burgers Prof. F.R. Hama
Chief, Bureau of Ordnance Department of the Navy Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Codes ReO (Director, Ord. Sci.) AD3 (Tech. Lib. Br.)		Commander Air Force Cambridge Research Center 230 Albany Street Cambridge 39, Massachusetts Attn: Geophysical Research Library	Division of Applied Mathematics Brown University Providence 12, Rhode Island Attn: Prof. M. Holt Dr. R.E. Meyer Dr. V.H. Reid
Chief, Bureau of Ships Department of the Navy Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Codes 106 (Tech. Asst. to Chief) 310 (Res. & Dev. Div.) 312 (Tech. Lib.) 442 (Sci. & Res.) 370 (Appl. Sci. Div.) 425 (Adv. Conc. Br.)		Commanding Officer Office of Ordnance Research Box 61, Duke Station Durham, North Carolina	Harvard University Cambridge 38, Massachusetts Attn: Engrg. and Appl. Phys. Div. Prof. H.W. Emmons Math. Dept. Prof. G. Birkhoff Prof. S. Goldstein
Commanding Officer and Director David Taylor Model Basin Washington 7, D.C. Attn: Codes 142 (Library Br.) 500 (Tech. Dir. Hydromech. Lab.) 513 (Contract Res. Admin.) 550 (Underwater Acoustics Div.)		Director Langley Aeronautical Laboratory National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics Langley Field, Virginia	Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge 39, Massachusetts Attn: Math. Dept. Prof. C.C. Lin Hydro. Lab. Prof. A.T. Ippen Prof. J.W. Daily
Chief, Bureau of Yards and Docks Department of the Navy Washington 25, D.C. Attn: Code D-400 (Res. Div.)		Director Ames Aeronautical Laboratory National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics Moffett Field, California	

University of Michigan  
Ann Arbor, Michigan  
Attn: Aero. Engrg. Dept.  
Prof. A.M. Kuethe  
Prof. M.S. Uberoi  
Prof. W.W. Willmarth  
Appl. Mech. Dept.  
Prof. C.S. Yih  
Civil Engrg. Dept.  
Prof. V.L. Streeter  
Nav. Arch. Dept.  
Prof. R.B. Couch

Dr. J. C. Johnson  
Engineering Research Institute  
University of Michigan  
Ann Arbor, Michigan

Iowa Institute of Hydraulic Research  
State University of Iowa  
Iowa City, Iowa  
Attn: Prof. H. Rouse  
Prof. L. Landweber

California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena 4, California  
Attn: Prof. C.E. Millikan  
Prof. T.Y. Wu  
Prof. H.W. Liepmann  
Prof. D.S. Coles  
Hydro. Lab.

University of California  
Department of Engineering  
Berkeley 4, California  
Attn: Prof. H.A. Einstein  
Prof. L. Grossman  
Prof. J.V. Wehausen

Director  
Experimental Towing Tank  
Stevens Institute of Technology  
711 Hudson Street  
Hoboken, New Jersey

Director  
Alden Hydraulic Laboratory  
Worcester Polytechnic Institute  
Worcester, Massachusetts

Director  
Ordnance Research Laboratory  
Pennsylvania State University  
University Park, Pennsylvania

Dr. E. Shadrayk  
Ordnance Research Laboratory  
Pennsylvania State University  
University Park, Pennsylvania

Director  
St. Anthony Falls Hydraulic Laboratory  
University of Minnesota  
Minneapolis 14, Minnesota

Director  
Scripps Institution of  
Oceanography  
University of California  
La Jolla, California

Director  
Woods Hole Oceanographic  
Institute  
Woods Hole, Massachusetts

Director  
Applied Physics Laboratory  
The Johns Hopkins University  
8621 Georgia Avenue  
Silver Spring, Maryland.

Dr. Th. von Karman  
1051 S. Marango Street  
Pasadena, California

Prof. W. R. Sears, Director  
Graduate School of Aeronautical  
Engineering  
Cornell University  
Ithaca, New York

Dr. J. M. Robertson  
Department of Theoretical and Applied  
Mechanics  
College of Engineering  
University of Illinois  
Urbana, Illinois

Prof. M. M. Munk, Head  
Aeronautical Engineering Department  
Catholic University of America  
Washington, D.C.

Prof. A. Ferri, Head  
Aeronautical Engineering and Applied  
Mechanics Department  
Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn  
99 Livingston Street  
Brooklyn 1, New York

Profs. W.D. Hayes and L.L. Crocco  
Department of Aeronautical Engineering  
Princeton University  
Princeton, New Jersey

Prof. G. Kuerti  
Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Case Institute of Technology  
Cleveland, Ohio

Dr. F. K. Moore  
Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory  
Cornell Research Foundation  
Box 235  
Buffalo 21, New York

Prof. S. Chandrasekhar  
Yerkes Observatory  
Williams Bay, Wisconsin

Prof. M. Mac  
Mathematics Department  
Cornell University  
Ithaca, New York

Dr. J. Laufer  
Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, California

Prof. S. Eskinazi  
Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Syracuse University  
Syracuse, New York

Dr. S. Kline  
Department of Mechanical Engineering  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California

Institute of Aerophysics  
University of Toronto  
Toronto 5, Canada

Prof. J. W. Jackson  
Engineering Experiment Station  
Georgia Institute of Technology  
Atlanta, Georgia

Prof. E. R. G. Eckert  
Department of Mechanical Engineering  
University of Minnesota  
Minneapolis 14, Minnesota

Dr. E. R. Van Driest  
Missile Development Division  
North American Aviation, Inc.  
Downey, California

Dr. I. Dyer  
Bolt, Beranek and Newman, Inc.  
50 Moulton Street  
Cambridge, Massachusetts

Colorado State University  
Fort Collins  
Colorado  
Attn: Prof. J.E. Cernak  
Dept. of Civil Engineering

Hydronautics, Inc.  
200 Monroe St.  
Rockville, Md.  
Attn: Mr. Phillip Eisenberg  
Mr. Marshall P. Tulin

University of Cincinnati  
Cincinnati 31, Ohio  
Attn: Library, Dept. of Physics

Western Electro-Acoustic Lab., Inc.  
11789 San Vicente Blvd.  
Los Angeles 49, California

# DATE DUE

DATE DUE			
1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28
29	30	31	32
33	34	35	36
37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44
45	46	47	48
49	50	51	52
53	54	55	56
57	58	59	60
61	62	63	64
65	66	67	68
69	70	71	72
73	74	75	76
77	78	79	80
81	82	83	84
85	86	87	88
89	90	91	92
93	94	95	96
97	98	99	100





